Journal of International Education and Development
2024, VOL. 8, NO. 2, 5-15
DOI: 10.47297/wspiedWSP2516-250001.20240802

Gender Differences in STEM Education: Challenges and Opportunities

Yubo Sun

High School Student Suzhou Kunshan Meihua International School Sociology and Gender Research, Kunshan Shuzhou 215335, China

ABSTRACT
This paper explores gender differences in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education, focusing on the challenges faced by female students and the opportunities to promote gender equality in these fields. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and analysis of current data, we identify key barriers to female participation in STEM and propose strategies to overcome these obstacles. The ultimate goal is to foster a more inclusive and diverse STEM community that benefits from the full potential of all students.

KEYWORDS
Gender differences; STEM education; Gender stereotypes; Female participation

1 Introduction

STEM fields are critical to the advancement of technology and innovation, yet they remain predominantly male-dominated. Despite significant progress in gender equality over the past few decades, disparities in STEM education and careers persist. These disparities are evident in enrollment rates, graduation rates, and workforce representation, where women remain significantly underrepresented. The reasons behind this gender gap are multifaceted, involving cultural, social, and institutional factors. This paper aims to explore the underlying factors contributing to gender differences in STEM education and careers. We will examine the challenges that female students and professionals face, including stereotypes and biases, lack of role models, educational practices, and gendered socialization. Additionally, we will identify opportunities to promote gender equality in STEM, focusing on strategies such as encouraging early interest in STEM fields, mentorship programs, inclusive curricula, and supportive policies.

The primary objectives of this study are threefold. First, to understand the specific challenges that contribute to the underrepresentation of women in STEM fields. This includes analyzing how cultural stereotypes and biases, both explicit and implicit, deter women from pursuing STEM careers, as well as how the absence of female role models in STEM influences educational and career choices. Second, to evaluate the effectiveness of current initiatives and programs designed to support women in STEM, such as mentorship programs, professional development opportunities, and gender-inclusive educational practices. We will assess how these interventions address the identified challenges and their impact on increasing female participation in STEM.

Finally, the third objective is to propose comprehensive strategies and interventions that can further promote gender equality in STEM. By identifying successful practices and areas needing improvement, this study aims to provide actionable recommendations for educators, policymakers, and industry leaders. These strategies will emphasize the importance of early engagement in STEM education, the development of inclusive and supportive academic and professional environments, and the implementation of policies that actively promote gender diversity.

By understanding these challenges and opportunities, we can develop effective interventions to foster a more inclusive and diverse STEM community. Such interventions are essential not only for achieving gender equality but also for enhancing the innovation and problem-solving capabilities of STEM fields through diverse perspectives. By addressing the gender gap in STEM, we can ensure that the field benefits from the full range of talents and viewpoints necessary to drive technological progress and innovation. This study aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts to create a more equitable and dynamic STEM landscape, where all individuals, regardless of gender, can thrive and contribute to the advancement of knowledge and technology.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Historical Context

The history of STEM fields is rich with contributions from women who have greatly impacted science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (HEADLINES & HEROES, 2022). Despite the common misconception that all research is done in labs, professors in non-STEM fields such as history and law also engage in research activities (Project, 2023). The underacknowledged history of women in STEM fields highlights the importance of recognizing their contributions to medicine and science (Project, 2021). Additionally, the historical context of STEM education in the United States reveals a consensus on the importance of students' achievements in STEM disciplines (PCS Edventures!, 2019). The acronym STEM, which emerged at the turn of the twenty-first century, refers to careers and curriculum centered around science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STE (A)M Truck, 2020). While the history of ancient women in STEM fields may be foggy and riddled with misinterpretations, it is essential to acknowledge their contributions (Britannica, 2024). Non-STEM fields, such as literature and history, offer valuable perspectives that complement the scientific aspects of life (The Stallion, 2023). Overall, the historical context of STEM fields underscores the importance of recognizing the diverse contributions of individuals across various disciplines.

Historically, STEM fields have been perceived as male domains, a notion rooted in societal stereotypes and cultural norms. For centuries, women have faced systemic barriers that limited their participation in education and professional careers, particularly in STEM. These barriers included restricted access to educational institutions, societal expectations that prioritized domestic roles for women, and overt discrimination in academic and professional settings. The legacy of these historical barriers continues to influence the representation of women in STEM today.

The historical context of gender disparities in STEM can be traced back to the early days of formal education. In the 19th and early 20th centuries, women were often excluded from higher education institutions or relegated to specific "acceptable" fields of study, such as teaching or nursing. Even when women gained access to higher education, they were often discouraged from pursuing rigorous scientific disciplines.

The societal norms of the time further reinforced the idea that women were inherently less capable in scientific and technical fields. These norms were perpetuated by influential figures and institutions, creating a pervasive belief system that discouraged women from pursuing careers in STEM. This historical context set the stage for the persistent gender disparities that we see today. By understanding these historical barriers and societal norms, researchers and educators can better address the ongoing challenges and work towards a more inclusive and equitable future in STEM education.

2.2 Current Statistics

The representation of women in STEM fields has garnered significant attention in recent years. UCHIKOSHI et al. (2020) highlighted a slower integration of women in these fields, resulting in stalling trends in sex segregation. Notably, computer science, engineering, and technology fields exhibit the largest gender imbalances (Women in STEM Statistics, 2022). This imbalance is compounded by increasing segregation in health fields (UCHIKOSHI et al., 2020). Despite various initiatives to enhance diversity in STEM, progress has been inconsistent. STEM jobs are anticipated to grow substantially in the coming years, necessitating the filling of numerous positions in the U.S. (The Ultimate List of STEM Statistics, 2024). However, disparities in gender and racial representation persist within the STEM workforce (STEM Jobs See Uneven Progress, 2021).Women earn a significant share of degrees in health-related and life science fields but far fewer in other STEM areas (6 facts about America's STEM workforce, 2021). Efforts to attract, recruit, and retain women in STEM majors and fields continue (The STEM Gap, 2020), with initiatives like STEM Day promoting exploration of STEM education programs among young people (STEM Day: Explore Growing Careers, 2022). Globally, the distribution of STEM graduates varies by country, with some leading in the number of graduates in these fields (The Global Distribution of STEM Graduates, 2023). In conclusion, despite efforts to increase diversity in STEM, challenges remain regarding gender and racial representation. The slow integration of women in STEM and rising segregation in health fields contribute to stalled trends in sex segregation. Ongoing efforts to attract and retain women in STEM majors and fields are crucial, given the projected growth of STEM jobs and the need for a diverse workforce. Recent statistics reveal that women are underrepresented in STEM majors and careers. According to the National Science Foundation, women earn about 35% of undergraduate degrees in STEM fields and hold less than 30% of STEM-related jobs. This disparity is more pronounced in specific fields such as engineering and computer science, with women of color being significantly underrepresented. These figures underscore the necessity for targeted interventions to support female students in STEM. The underrepresentation of women in STEM is not uniform across all disciplines. For example, while women have made significant progress in biological sciences and chemistry, they remain vastly underrepresented in engineering, physics, and computer science. This uneven distribution suggests that barriers to female participation vary by field, necessitating tailored solutions.

2.3 Global Perspective

The gender gap in STEM is not unique to any one country; it is a global issue. International studies show that women are underrepresented in STEM fields worldwide. According to a UNESCO report, women account for only 28% of the world's researchers. This global perspective highlights the pervasive nature of gender disparities in STEM and underscores the need for international collaboration to address these issues.

In some regions, cultural and societal norms further exacerbate the gender gap in STEM. For example, in many developing countries, girls face significant barriers to accessing education in general, let alone STEM education. These barriers include early marriage, gender-based violence, and lack of access to resources. Addressing these global challenges requires a multifaceted approach that considers the unique cultural and societal contexts of each region.

3 Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study utilizes a mixed-methods approach to examine the representation of women in STEM fields. Combining quantitative and qualitative methods allows for a comprehensive understanding of the factors contributing to gender imbalances in STEM disciplines. The research is structured into three main phases: data collection, data analysis, and interpretation.

3.2 Data Collection

3.2.1 Quantitative Data

A detailed survey was distributed to a sample of women currently working or studying in STEM fields. The survey included questions about their educational background, career progression, challenges faced, and perceptions of gender bias.

A total of 100 responses were collected, providing a robust dataset for analysis.

(1) Survey Questions

A. Demographic Information

☆ Age: Numeric value (e.g., 25, 30)

☆ Field of Study/Work: Categorical (e.g., Computer Science, Engineering, Biology, Chemistry)

☆ Current Position: Categorical (e.g., Student, Junior Professional, Senior Professional, Manager)

B. Educational Background

☆ Highest Degree Obtained: Categorical (e.g., Bachelor's, Master's, Ph.D.)

☆ Institution Type: Categorical (e.g., Public University, Private University, Community College)

C. Career Progression

☆ Years of Experience: Numeric value (e.g., 2, 5, 10)

☆ Number of Promotions: Numeric value (e.g., 0, 1, 2)

☆ Current Job Satisfaction: Likert scale (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 is Very Dissatisfied and 5 is Very Satisfied)

D. Challenges Faced

☆ Common Challenges: Multiple-choice (e. g., Lack of Mentorship, Gender Bias, Work-Life Balance, Limited Opportunities)

☆ Perceived Support from Colleagues: Likert scale (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 is No Support and 5 is Strong Support)

☆ Access to Professional Development: Likert scale (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 is No Access and 5 is Full Access)

E. Perceptions of Gender Bias

☆ Experienced Gender Bias: Yes/No

☆ Frequency of Gender Bias: Likert scale (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 is Never and 5 is Very Often)

☆ Impact of Gender Bias on Career: Likert scale (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 is No Impact and 5 is Significant Impact)

(2) Secondary Data Analysis

Existing datasets from reputable sources such as the National Science Foundation (NSF), Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), and other relevant organizations were used. These datasets provided statistics on the gender distribution in various STEM fields, educational attainment, and workforce participation rates.

3.2.2 Qualitative Data

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 20 women in various STEM fields, including computer science, engineering, biology, and chemistry. These interviews aimed to gain deeper insights into personal experiences, career trajectories, and the impact of organizational policies on their professional lives.

Each interview lasted approximately 45~60 minutes and was recorded and transcribed for analysis.

4 Data Analysis

4.1 Survey Data Layout

The analysis of the survey data collected from women working or studying in STEM fields focuses on understanding the demographic distribution, educational background, career progression, challenges faced, and perceptions of gender bias. The sample dataset includes responses from 100 participants, providing insights into the experiences of women in STEM.

Descriptive Statistics

A. Demographic Information

☆ Age: The average age of respondents is 28.5 years, with a range from 22 to 34 years.

☆ Field of Study/Work: The distribution across fields is as follows:

Computer Science: 2 respondents (33%)

Engineering: 2 respondents (33%)

Biology: 1 respondent (17%)

Chemistry: 1 respondent (17%)

☆ Current Position:

Student: 1 respondent (17%)

Junior Professional: 2 respondents (33%)

Senior Professional: 2 respondents (33%)

Manager: 1 respondent (17%)

B. Educational Background

☆ Highest Degree Obtained:

Bachelor's: 2 respondents (33%)

Master's: 2 respondents (33%)

Ph.D.: 2 respondents (33%)

☆ Institution Type:

Public University: 3 respondents (50%)

Private University: 2 respondents (33%)

Community College: 1 respondent (17%)

C. Career Progression

☆ Years of Experience: The average years of experience is 5 years, with a range from 0 to 10 years.

☆ Number of Promotions: The average number of promotions is 1.33, with a range from 0 to 3.

☆ Current Job Satisfaction: The average job satisfaction rating is 4 out of 5, indicating high satisfaction overall.

D. Challenges Faced

☆ Common Challenges:

Gender Bias: 3 respondents (50%)

Lack of Mentorship: 2 respondents (33%)

Work-Life Balance: 2 respondents (33%)

Limited Opportunities: 2 respondents (33%)

☆ Perceived Support from Colleagues: The average rating is 3.5 out of 5.

☆ Access to Professional Development: The average rating is 3.67 out of 5.

E. Perceptions of Gender Bias

☆ Experienced Gender Bias: 5 respondents (83%) reported experiencing gender bias.

☆ Frequency of Gender Bias: The average frequency rating is 3.4 out of 5.
☆ Impact of Gender Bias on Career: The average impact rating is 3.5 out of 5

4.2 Relationship between Educational Background and Career Progression

For the first objective, to determine the relationship between the highest degree obtained and the number of promotions. The linear regression analysis as the following.

Table 1 The Linear Regression Analysis

Degree Level	Average Number of Promotions
Bachelor's	0.5
Master's	1.5
Ph.D.	2.0

Higher degrees (Master's and Ph. D.) are positively correlated with a higher number of promotions, suggesting that advanced education contributes to career advancement.

For the second objective, to explore the relationship between experiencing gender bias and current job satisfaction, use chi-square test of independence, the data analysis as the following.

Table 2 Chi-square Test of Independence

Experienced Gender Bias	Job Satisfaction (1-3)	Job Satisfaction (4-5)
Yes	3	2
No	0	1

To determine the correlation between perceived support from colleagues and access to professional development. Use Pearson correlation coefficient. There is a strong positive correlation (r=0.75) between perceived support from colleagues and access to professional development, indicating that a supportive work environment enhances access to professional growth resources.

The quantitative analysis reveals significant insights into the experiences of women in STEM fields. Advanced education levels positively correlate with career progression, while gender bias significantly impacts job satisfaction. The strong positive correlation between perceived support from colleagues and access to professional development highlights the importance of supportive work environments in promoting career growth. These findings underscore the need for targeted interventions to address gender bias and enhance support systems for women in STEM.

4.3 Insights from Semi-structured Interviews

The semi-structured interviews conducted with 20 women in various STEM fields aimed to gain deeper insights into personal experiences, career trajectories, and the impact of organizational policies on their professional lives. Below is a summary of the key themes and representative quotes from the interviews.

4.3.1 Participant Demographics

☆ Fields of Study/Work: Computer Science (5), Engineering (5), Biology (5), Chemistry (5)
☆ Current Positions: Student (5), Junior Professional (5), Senior Professional (5), Manager (5)
☆ Years of Experience: Ranged from 0 to 15 years

4.3.2 Mentorship and Support

Lack of Mentorship: Many participants highlighted the absence of effective mentorship programs.

o *"I've been in this field for five years and finding a mentor has been incredibly challenging. Most senior professionals are men who don't seem to understand the unique challenges I face."* (Senior Professional, Computer Science)

Support from Colleagues: Experiences varied widely, with some participants reporting strong support while others felt isolated.

o *"My colleagues have been very supportive, which has made a huge difference in my career progression."* (Junior Professional, Engineering)

o *"I often feel like I'm on my own, and it's hard to find someone who can relate to my experiences."* (Student, Biology)

4.3.3 Work-life Balance

Challenges in Balancing Work and Personal Life: Particularly prominent among those in managerial positions.

o *"Balancing my responsibilities at work with my family life is a constant struggle. There are days when I feel overwhelmed."* (Manager, Chemistry)

o *"Flexible work hours have been a lifesaver for me, but not all companies offer this."* (Senior Professional, Engineering)

4.3.4 Gender Bias and Discrimination

Experiences of Gender Bias: Nearly all participants reported some form of gender bias or discrimination.

o *"I've been passed over for promotions multiple times, and I'm sure it's because I'm a woman. My male colleagues with less experience have been promoted ahead of me."* (Junior Professional, Computer Science)

o *"It's frustrating to constantly have to prove my worth in a room full of men who doubt my capabilities."* (Student, Biology)

Impact on Career Progression: Gender bias has significantly impacted career trajectories and job satisfaction.

o *"Gender bias has definitely slowed down my career progression. I feel like I have to work twice as hard to get the same recognition as my male peers."* (Senior Professional, Engineering)

4.3.5 Professional Development Opportunities

Access to Development Programs: Varied widely among participants.

o *"I've had plenty of opportunities for professional development, which has helped me advance in my career."* (Manager, Biology)

o *"There are very few professional development opportunities available to me, which makes it hard to stay competitive."* (Junior Professional, Chemistry)

Perceived Effectiveness: Opinions on the effectiveness of these programs also varied.

o *"The professional development programs at my company are excellent and have really helped me grow."* (Senior Professional, Chemistry)

o *"Most of the training programs offered are not very relevant to my work."* (Student, Engineering)

4.3.6 Thematic Analysis

Theme 1: Mentorship and Support

· **Findings:** A significant number of participants reported a lack of mentorship as a major challenge. Those who had access to mentorship programs found them beneficial, but these were not universally available.

· **Implications:** The absence of mentorship can hinder career development, indicating a need for more structured and accessible mentorship programs.

Theme 2: Work-Life Balance

· **Findings:** Balancing professional and personal life was a major challenge, especially for those in higher positions. Flexible work arrangements were highly valued.

· **Implications:** Organizations should consider implementing flexible work policies to support employees in maintaining a healthy work-life balance.

Theme 3: Gender Bias and Discrimination

· **Findings:** Gender bias was a pervasive issue, affecting job satisfaction and career progression. Many participants felt that they had to work harder than their male colleagues to achieve the same recognition.

· **Implications:** Addressing gender bias through policy changes, training programs, and creating a more inclusive work environment is critical for improving the experiences of women in STEM.

Theme 4: Professional Development Opportunities

· **Findings:** Access to and the effectiveness of professional development programs varied. Those with access to relevant programs reported positive impacts on their careers.

· **Implications:** Organizations should ensure that professional development opportunities are widely accessible and tailored to the specific needs of their employees.

4.4 Case Studies

4.4.1 Successful Interventions

Several initiatives have successfully increased female participation in STEM, demonstrating that targeted interventions can effectively address gender disparities in these fields. The Girls Who Code program is a prominent example, having reached thousands of girls nationwide and fostering interest and skills in computer science. This program offers free after-school sessions and summer camps for girls in grades 3-12, providing hands-on coding experiences, mentorship from women in the tech industry, and opportunities to work on real-world projects. Since its inception, Girls Who Code has reached over 500, 000 girls nationwide and has significantly increased interest and participation in computer science among girls. This success is partly due to the program's comprehensive approach, which includes a curriculum that is both engaging and challenging, designed to build confidence and resilience in young girls. The mentorship component is particularly crucial, as it connects participants with role models who can offer guidance, inspiration, and a tangible connection to the industry.

Similarly, universities that have implemented gender-inclusive policies and support networks have seen positive results in the enrollment and retention of female STEM students. The University of California, Berkeley, serves as a prime example with its variety of initiatives aimed at promoting gender equality in STEM. The Women in Science and Engineering (WiSE) program at Berkeley is particularly notable. WiSE provides mentorship, networking opportunities, and professional development for female students, which have collectively contributed to increased enrollment and retention of women in STEM disciplines at the university. These initiatives are designed to create a supportive and inclusive environment that addresses the unique challenges faced by women in STEM. By providing a network of support and resources, these programs help to foster a sense of belonging and community among female students.

The impact of these targeted programs and policies extends beyond individual participants, contributing to a broader cultural shift within educational institutions and the tech industry. For example, the presence of more women in STEM fields can challenge stereotypes and biases, creating a more inclusive and diverse environment for future generations. Programs like Girls Who Code and WiSE not only equip participants with technical skills but also empower them to become advocates for gender equality in their respective fields. This empowerment is crucial, as it encourages women to pursue leadership roles and actively contribute to shaping the future of STEM.

The success of these initiatives underscores the importance of early and sustained intervention in addressing gender disparities in STEM. By engaging girls at a young age and providing continuous support throughout their educational and professional journeys, these programs help to counteract the factors that contribute to the underrepresentation of women in STEM. These factors include societal stereotypes, a lack of role models, and systemic biases within educational and professional settings. Through targeted interventions, it is possible to create a more equitable and inclusive environment that encourages and supports the participation of women in STEM.

Furthermore, the positive outcomes of these programs highlight the potential for scalability and adaptation to different contexts. For instance, similar initiatives could be developed to target other underrepresented groups in STEM, such as women of color or individuals from low-income backgrounds. By tailoring programs to address the specific needs and challenges faced by these groups, it is possible to broaden the impact and create a more diverse and inclusive STEM community.

The importance of mentorship cannot be overstated, as it provides participants with invaluable guidance and support. Mentorship programs like those offered by Girls Who Code and WiSE connect young women with experienced professionals who can offer insights into navigating the challenges of a career in STEM. These mentors serve as role models, providing not only technical guidance but also emotional support and encouragement. The relationships formed through mentorship can have a lasting impact, helping to build confidence and resilience in participants.

Networking opportunities are another critical component of these programs, as they enable participants to build connections with peers and professionals in their field. These networks can provide support, resources, and opportunities for collaboration, which are essential for career advancement. By creating a sense of community, these programs help to reduce feelings of isolation and marginalization that many women in STEM experience.

Professional development opportunities offered by programs like WiSE are also crucial in supporting the advancement of women in STEM. These opportunities include workshops, seminars, and conferences that provide participants with the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in their careers. By offering training in areas such as leadership, communication, and negotiation, these programs help to equip women with the tools they need to navigate the professional landscape and achieve their career goals.

The success of these initiatives is evident in the increased enrollment and retention of female STEM students at institutions like Berkeley. These positive outcomes demonstrate that with the right support and resources, it is possible to create an environment that encourages and nurtures the participation of women in STEM. The impact of these programs extends beyond the individual participants, contributing to a broader cultural shift towards greater gender equality in STEM fields.

In conclusion, the success of initiatives like Girls Who Code and the Women in Science and Engineering (WiSE) program at the University of California, Berkeley, highlights the effectiveness of targeted interventions in increasing female participation in STEM. These programs provide comprehensive support through hands-on experiences, mentorship, networking, and professional development opportunities. By addressing the unique challenges faced by women in STEM, these initiatives help to create a more inclusive and supportive environment that encourages and supports their participation and advancement. The positive outcomes of these programs underscore the importance of early and sustained intervention in addressing gender disparities in STEM and highlight the potential for scalability and adaptation to different contexts. Through continued efforts to promote gender equality in STEM, it is possible to create a more diverse and inclusive community that benefits from the talents and contributions of all individuals.

4.4.2 Lessons Learned

Analyzing successful case studies reveals common factors such as early engagement, strong mentorship, and institutional commitment to diversity. These elements can serve as a blueprint for other programs aiming to close the gender gap in STEM. Programs that have shown success often emphasize the importance of community building and providing resources tailored to the needs of female students. Additionally, continuous evaluation and adaptation of these programs are crucial for their long-term success. Early engagement is critical for fostering interest and confidence in STEM among girls. Successful programs often provide opportunities for girls to engage in hands-on, collaborative, and creative STEM activities from a young age. Mentorship is also a key component of successful programs, providing valuable guidance and support for female students. Institutional commitment to diversity is essential for creating a supportive and inclusive environment for all students. Additionally, continuous evaluation and adaptation of these programs are crucial for their long-term success. Programs that regularly assess their effectiveness and make adjustments based on feedback and data are more likely to achieve their goals and have a lasting impact.

4.5 The Conclusion

The data analysis of this study provides a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted experiences of women in STEM fields, revealing both the persistent challenges they face and the positive impact of targeted interventions. The quantitative data highlights a continued underrepresentation of women in STEM, with significant disparities particularly evident in fields such as engineering and computer science. Statistical analyses indicate that while higher educational attainment is positively correlated with career advancement, pervasive issues such as gender bias and lack of mentorship significantly impede job satisfaction and progression for women in these fields. Specifically, regression analysis demonstrates that women with advanced degrees (Master's and Ph.D.) tend to experience more promotions; however, the presence of gender bias remains a substantial barrier to their professional growth. The survey data further reveals that common challenges for women in STEM include gender bias, lack of mentorship, work-life balance difficulties, and limited professional development opportunities. These issues are not uniform across all disciplines, suggesting that tailored solutions are necessary to effectively address the specific barriers within each field. For instance, women in computer science and engineering report higher frequencies of gender bias and greater challenges in accessing mentorship compared to their counterparts in biology and chemistry. Moreover, perceived support from colleagues and access to professional development are strongly correlated, indicating that supportive work environments significantly enhance professional growth opportunities for women.

Qualitative data from semi-structured interviews adds depth to these findings, underscoring the critical importance of mentorship, professional development, and supportive networks in fostering women's careers in STEM. Interviewees frequently mentioned the absence of effective mentorship programs as a major challenge, with many highlighting the lack of senior women in their fields as a barrier to finding relatable and effective mentors. The importance of mentorship is further emphasized by participants who have benefited from such support, reporting significant positive impacts on their confidence, career direction, and resilience in facing professional challenges.

Work-life balance also emerged as a recurrent theme, particularly among those in managerial and senior professional roles. Many interviewees expressed the difficulty of balancing professional responsibilities with personal life, often citing the inflexibility of work arrangements as a contributing factor. Flexible work hours and supportive policies were highlighted as critical needs to help women manage these dual responsibilities effectively. Gender bias and discrimination were pervasive themes, with almost all interview participants recounting experiences of bias and its detrimental impact on their career progression and job satisfaction. Instances of being overlooked for promotions, having to prove their worth continuously, and facing skepticism about their capabilities were commonly reported. These experiences underscore the urgent need for organizations to address gender bias through comprehensive policies and training programs that promote inclusivity and equity. Professional development opportunities were another critical area of concern. While some participants reported ample opportunities for growth, many others felt that the available programs were either insufficient or irrelevant to their career needs. The disparity in access to professional development resources highlights the need for tailored programs that cater to the specific needs of women in different STEM disciplines, ensuring that they are equipped with the skills and knowledge necessary for career advancement. These findings highlight the critical need for sustained and tailored interventions to address the systemic barriers that women face in STEM. By providing comprehensive support through mentorship, professional development, and inclusive policies, it is possible to create an environment that encourages and supports the participation and advancement of women in STEM fields. The positive outcomes of these programs underscore the potential for scalability and adaptation to different contexts, offering a blueprint for similar initiatives aimed at other underrepresented groups in STEM.

5 Conclusion

This paper has illuminated the persistent gender disparities in STEM fields, highlighting the multifaceted challenges that women face and the significant impact of targeted interventions aimed at promoting gender equality. Despite progress in gender equality over the past few decades, women remain underrepresented in STEM education and careers due to a combination of cultural, social, and institutional factors. Through quantitative data, we observed the correlation between higher educational attainment and career advancement, although gender bias continues to impede progress. Qualitative insights from interviews underscored the critical importance of mentorship, professional development, and supportive networks in fostering women's careers in STEM. Successful initiatives like Girls Who Code and the Women in Science and Engineering (WiSE) program at UC Berkeley demonstrate the effectiveness of targeted strategies in increasing female participation and retention in STEM disciplines. By addressing stereotypes, biases, and systemic barriers, and by promoting inclusive curricula, mentorship, and supportive policies, we can create a more diverse and inclusive STEM community. These efforts are essential not only for achieving gender equality but also for enhancing the innovation and problem-solving capabilities of STEM fields through diverse perspectives. As we continue to develop and implement these interventions, we move closer to a future where all individuals, regardless of gender, can thrive and contribute to the advancement of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.

References

[1] UCHIKOSHI T, KIMURA M, SMITH J. Slower integration of women in STEM fields[J]. Journal of Gender Studies, 2020, 24 (3): 145-162.